ssm

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 1909 OF 2007
IN
APPEAL (L) NO. 502 OF 2007
IN
MISCELLANEOUS PETITION NO.17 OF 2006

Parvej H. Driver ...Appellant.

Vs.

The Charity Commissioner
of Mumbai and 18 ors. ...Respondents.

Mr. R.N.Sethna with Ms. S. Vedpathak i/by M/s. Maneksha and Sethna for the Appellant.

Mr. P.G. Lad, AGP for Respondent No.1, State.

Mr. I. M. Chagla, Senior Advocate with D.J. Khambatta and Mr. Shyam Mehta Senior Advocates, and Mr. V. Doijode i/by M/s. Doijode Associates for Respondent Nos. 2 to 7.

Mr. P.R. Patel, for Respondent No. 12.

Mr. Z.B. Jahangir for Respondent No. 13.

Mr. Rustom Sheriar Tirandaz, Respondent No. 14 present in person.

Dr. V.V. Tulzapurkar, Senior Advocate with Mr. S. Jagniyani i/by M/s. Soloman & Co. for Respondent Nos. 17,18 and 19.

CORAM : DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN &
ANOOP V. MOHTA, JJ.

DATED : 09TH JANUARY, 2008

P.C.

. Heard the learned counsel for the Appellant and the learned counsel for the Respondents. By this Notice of

Motion the Appellant is seeking condonation of 17 days delay in filing the above appeal. Perused the Notice of Motion and affidavit in support thereof. For the reasons stated therein sufficient cause is made out for condoning the delay in filing the above appeal. There is no case of inaction, negligence or want of bonafide on the part of the Appellant. Hence, the Notice of Motion is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

2. The learned counsel for the Appellant undertakes to number the Appeal within a period of two weeks.

(ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.) **(DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN,J.)**